| i | I | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) MICHAEL R. FARRELL (BAR NO. 173 TED FATES (BAR NO. 227809) ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 515 South Figueroa Street, Ninth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3309 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com | 3831) | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Receiver Thomas A. Seama | n | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES | DISTRIC | CT COURT |
| 10 | CENTRAL DISTRI | CT OF CA | ALIFORNIA |
| 11 | SOUTHER | N DIVISI | ION |
| 12 | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, | Case No | . 8:09-cv-0818-DOC (RNBx) |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | NOTIC | E OF MOTION AND |
| 14 | V. | MOTIO | ON FOR APPROVAL OF |
| 15 | MEDICAL CAPITAL HOLDINGS, | PARKV | LE OF LOAN MADE TO THE VAY HOSPITAL, INC. AND |
| 16 | INC.; MEDICAL CAPITAL CORPORATION; MEDICAL | AND (B | VAY ACQUISITION I, LLC,) PAYMENT OF BROKER'S |
| 17 | PROVIDER FUNDING CORPORATION VI; SIDNEY M. | | ISSION; MEMORANDUM OF S AND AUTHORITIES |
| | FIELD; and JOSEPH J. LAMPARIELLO, | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | Date: Time: | March 5, 2012 8:30 a.m. |
| 20 | | Ctrm: Judge: | 9D Hon. David O. Carter |
| 21 22 | | | |
| 23 | | J | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP | 766568.01/SD | | |

| 1 | TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES: |
|----|--|
| 2 | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 5, 2012, at 8:30 a.m., in |
| 3 | Courtroom 9D of the above-entitled Court located at 411 West Fourth Street, |
| 4 | Santa Ana, California 92701, a hearing will be held on the motion of Thomas A. |
| 5 | Seaman ("Receiver"), Court-appointed permanent receiver for Medical Capital |
| 6 | Holdings, Inc., Medical Capital Corporation, Medical Provider Funding |
| 7 | Corporation VI, and their subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Medical Capital" |
| 8 | or the "Receivership Entities"), for approval of (a) sale of loan made to The |
| 9 | Parkway Hospital, Inc. and Parkway Acquisition I, LLC, and (b) payment of |
| 10 | broker's commission ("Motion"). |
| 11 | The Motion is based on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, |
| 12 | and the Declarations of Thomas A. Seaman and Kenneth Enos filed herewith. The |
| 13 | Motion and supporting papers are available at the Receiver's website, |
| 14 | http://www.medicalcapitalreceivership.com, or may be reviewed at the Clerk's |
| 15 | Office during normal business hours at 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, |
| 16 | California 92701. |
| 17 | Procedural Requirements: If you oppose this Motion, you are required to |
| 18 | file your written opposition with the Office of the Clerk, United States District |
| 19 | Court, 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California 92701, and serve the same on |
| 20 | the undersigned not later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the hearing. |
| 21 | IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AND SERVE A WRITTEN OPPOSITION by the |
| 22 | above date, the Court may grant the requested relief without further notice. This |
| 23 | Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Case 8:09-cv-00818-DOC-RNB Document 637 Filed 02/03/12 Page 3 of 26 Page ID #:14573

WHEREFORE, the Receiver requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances. Dated: February 3, 2012 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP By: /s/ Ted Fates **TED FATES** Attorneys for Receiver Thomas A. Seaman

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

| 1 | | | TABLE OF CONTENTS | |
|----|------|------|---|------|
| 2 | | | <u>P</u> | Page |
| 3 | I. | INTR | ODUCTION | 1 |
| 4 | II. | BAC | KGROUND FACTS | 2 |
| 5 | | A. | History of the Parkway Property | 2 |
| 6 | | B. | The Parkway Hospital Bankruptcy | 3 |
| 7 | | C. | The Berger Commission | 4 |
| 8 | | D. | The Medical Capital Loans | 5 |
| 9 | | E. | Confirmation of Parkway Hospital's Chapter 11 Plan | 7 |
| 10 | | F. | The Capitol, Boro and Related Bankruptcy Cases | 8 |
| 11 | | G. | The Parkway Hospital Bankruptcy Gets Converted to | O |
| 12 | | 11 | Chapter 7 | |
| 13 | | H. | Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee for Capitol, Boro, Lifeco and Related Entities | 9 |
| 14 | | I. | The Receiver's Foreclosure Action | 10 |
| 15 | | J. | Aquino Indictment | 11 |
| 16 | | K. | Tax and Utility Liens on the Property | 11 |
| 17 | | L. | Appraisals | 12 |
| 18 | | M. | Conditions at the Property | 12 |
| 19 | | N. | Efforts to Market and Sell the First Parkway Loan | 13 |
| 20 | | O. | The Proposed Sale | 14 |
| 21 | | P. | Losses Resulting From the Loans | 15 |
| 22 | III. | ARG | UMENT | 17 |
| 23 | | A. | Broad Equitable Powers of the Court | 17 |
| 24 | | B. | The Sale | 18 |
| 25 | | C. | Broker's Commission | 19 |
| 26 | IV. | CON | CLUSION | 20 |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | l) | | | |

| 1 | TABLE OF AUTHORITIES |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 2 | Page(s) |
| 3 | <u>Cases</u> |
| 4 | Beet Growers Sugar Co. v. Columbia Trust Co., 3 F.2d 755 (9th Cir. 1925)18 |
| 5 | Commodities Futures Trading Comm'n. v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd., |
| 6 | 205 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 1999) |
| 7 | First Nat'l Bank v. Shedd, 121 U.S. 74, 87 (1887)18 |
| 8 | Gockstetter v. Williams, |
| 9 | 9 F.2d 354 (9th Cir. 1925) |
| 10 | Miners' Bank of Wilkes-Barre v. Acker, 66 F.2d 850 (2d Cir. 1933)18 |
| 11 | S.E.C. v. American Capital Invest., Inc., |
| 12 | 98 F.3d 1133, 1144 (9th Cir. 1996) |
| 13 | S.E.C. v. Elliot 953 F.2d 1560 (11th Cir. 1992)17, 18 |
| 14 | SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, |
| 15 | 397 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2005)17 |
| 16 | SEC v. Hardy, 803 F.2d 1034 (9th Cir 1986)17 |
| 1718 | SEC v. Wencke, 622 F.2d 1363 (9th Cir. 1980) |
| 19 | Other Authorities |
| 20 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 342 (3d ed. 1992) |
| 21 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 344 |
| 22 | (3d ed. 1992) |
| 23 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 482 (3d ed. 1992) |
| 24 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of |
| 25 | Receivers § 482(a) (3d ed. 1992) |
| 26 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 487 (3d ed. 1992) |
| 27 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 489 |
| 28 | (3d ed. 1992) |
| | |

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

| 1 | Page(s) |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 491 (3d ed. 1992) |
| 3 | 2 Palph Fwing Clark Treatise on Law & Practice of Pagaiwars & 500 |
| 4 | 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 500 (3rd ed. 1992) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| amble LP | 766568 01/SD |

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gambl
Mallory & Natsis LLP

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

INTRODUCTION T

| 2 | I. INTRODUCTION |
|----|---|
| 3 | This motion seeks Court approval of the sale of a loan secured by real |
| 4 | property, subject to overbid. The loan at issue, the First Parkway Loan (as defined |
| 5 | below), is one of a series of loans made by Medical Capital to Dr. Robert J. Aquino |
| 6 | ("Aquino"), and three entities owned and/or controlled by him located in |
| 7 | New York – The Parkway Hospital, Inc. ("Parkway Hospital"), Parkway |
| 8 | Acquisition I, LLC, formerly known as Parkway Hospital Associates ("Parkway |
| 9 | Acquisition"), and Capitol Health Management, Inc. ("Capitol"). Between |
| 10 | March 2006 and July 2007, Medical Capital made seven loans to Aquino, Parkway |
| 11 | Hospital, Parkway Acquisition and Capitol. The total principal loaned, including |
| 12 | amendments to the original loan agreements, exceeds \$61 million. Less than |
| 13 | \$3.6 million in loan payments were made. As of today, including accrued interest, |
| 14 | the total owed on the loans exceeds \$97 million. Aquino recently pled guilty to a |
| 15 | federal charge of conspiracy to commit bribery and is set to be sentenced in |
| 16 | May 2012. Declaration of Thomas Seaman in Support of Motion ("Seaman |
| 17 | Declaration"), ¶ 2. |
| 18 | Parkway Hospital and Capitol are in bankruptcy, their cases pending in the |
| 19 | Southern District of New York. Their assets, which are included in the collateral for |
| 20 | the loans, are being liquidated by bankruptcy trustees. The real property on which |
| 21 | Parkway Hospital operated, which is owned by Parkway Acquisition, is the subject |
| 22 | of a foreclosure action in New York initiated by the Receiver in January 2011. |
| 23 | Parkway Acquisition, whose only asset is the property, is not in bankruptcy. |
| 24 | Seaman Declaration, ¶ 3. |
| 25 | While pursuing the foreclosure action, the Receiver also marketed the First |
| 26 | Parkway Loan for sale. Twelve offers were received. The Receiver negotiated |
| 27 | terms with four potential purchasers at prices ranging from \$4.75 million to |
| 28 | \$5.05 million. Each of these potential purchasers elected not to pursue the |

transaction after conducting their due diligence. The Receiver then received an offer from PH Paper, LLC ("Purchaser") and negotiated and executed a Loan Purchase and Sale Agreement, subject to Court approval. The proposed purchase price is \$6.2 million, and the sale is subject to overbid by qualified bidders. By separate Ex Parte Application, the Receiver has sought approval of proposed overbid procedures and notice of the sale. By this Motion, the Receiver requests approval of the sale to Purchaser or the highest qualified bidder. Seaman

II. BACKGROUND FACTS

In order to understand the history of the First Parkway Loan, and the factors affecting the proposed sale, it is necessary to put the loan in context with events involving Parkway Hospital and Aquino's other entities, and the six other loans issued by Medical Capital. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 5.

A. History of the Parkway Property

The real property securing the First Parkway Loan is located at 70-35 113th Street, Forest Hills, Queens, New York ("Property"). The Property is owned by Parkway Acquisition and was leased to Parkway Hospital, which operated a hospital. The exact ownership of Parkway Acquisition and Parkway Hospital are not known, although the Receiver believes Aquino and/or Aquino's father has a controlling interest in both entities. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 6.

Aquino also owns Capitol, which managed at least six medical practices in the New York/Tri-State area owned by Aquino. One of these medical practice entities, Lifeco Medical, P.C. ("Lifeco"), provided emergency room staffing services to Parkway Hospital. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 7.

Beginning in 1961, Parkway Acquisition (then known as Parkway Hospital Associates), or its predecessors in title to the Property, entered into five separate loan agreements, which loans were secured by the Property. In 1994, Parkway Acquisition entered into a Consolidation, Extension and Modification Agreement

Declaration, ¶ 4.

with CoreStates Bank, which consolidated the five original loans into a single lien 1 on the Property. Thereafter, CoreStates Bank merged into First Union National Bank, which became the holder of the consolidated mortgage. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 8. 4 On August 8, 2001, First Union National Bank assigned the consolidated 5 mortgage to GE HFS Holdings, Inc., then known as Heller Healthcare 7 Financial, Inc. ("GE HFS"). At the same time, the consolidated mortgage was split 8 into two loans secured by the Property - a \$8,000,000 revolving loan and \$2,000,000 term loan. Parkway Acquisition and Parkway Hospital are co-borrowers under both loans, which are secured by the Property and all other assets of both entities. In 10 August 2003, the \$2,000,000 term loan was paid off. However, the term loan 11 12 mortgage continues to secure full payment of all amounts owed under the 13 \$8,000,000 revolving loan. As discussed below, Medical Capital later purchased the 14 \$8,000,000 revolving loan and the \$2,000,000 term loan, which are referred to 15 herein as the First Parkway Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 9. In July 2004, Parkway Equities, LLC ("Parkway Equities") made a 16 17 \$3,000,000 loan to Parkway Acquisition secured by a mortgage deed of trust on the Property. This loan appears to have been made in conjunction with an agreement to 18 19 sell the hospital to Parkway Equities, conditioned on New York State Department of 20 Health approval. Such approval was apparently not granted. In December 2004, 21 Parkway Equities sued Parkway Acquisition to foreclose on the Property. Parkway 22 Hospital was named as a defendant in order to terminate its leasehold interest. 23 Seaman Declaration, ¶ 10. 24 В. The Parkway Hospital Bankruptcy

On July 1, 2005, Parkway Hospital filed chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Southern District of New York. In September 2005, Parkway Hospital filed an adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court to enjoin Parkway Equities' foreclosure action as a violation of the automatic stay. In February 2006, the bankruptcy court

25

26

27

ruled that the foreclosure action was stayed. Then, in May 2006, the bankruptcy court granted relief from stay to allow the foreclosure action to proceed. Thereafter, Aquino, or an entity controlled by him, apparently purchased the loan issued by Parkway Equities and caused the foreclosure action to be dismissed. In September 2006, the bankruptcy court approved a \$5 million loan from Boro Medical, P.C. ("Boro"), a medical practice owned by Aquino, to Parkway Hospital as Debtor-in-Possession ("Boro DIP Loan"). The Boro DIP Loan was secured by a mortgage on the Property, junior in priority to the GE HFS loan. Seaman

9 Declaration, ¶ 11.

C. The Berger Commission

In April 2005, the State of New York established the Commission on Health Care Facilities in the 21st Century, commonly known as the Berger Commission after its chairman, Stephen Berger. The Berger Commission was established to "rightsize" the healthcare delivery system in New York due to low occupancy levels at hospitals and nursing homes. The Commission was charged with making recommendations regarding the closure and reconfiguration of hospitals and nursing homes. On November 28, 2006, the Berger Commission issued its final report which recommended closure of 9 hospitals in New York (5 in New York City), and reconfiguration of 48 other hospitals. Parkway Hospital was 1 of the 5 New York City hospitals recommended for closure. On January 1, 2007, the Berger Commission's recommendations were adopted, and the New York State Commissioner of Health was directed to implement them. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 12.

On December 29, 2006, Parkway Hospital, which had been in chapter 11 since July 2005, commenced an adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court challenging the constitutionality of the Berger Commission and seeking to have the New York State Commissioner of Health enjoined from implementing its recommended closure of Parkway Hospital ("First Berger Adversary"). The

hospital continued to operate pending resolution of the First Berger Adversary. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 13.

D. The Medical Capital Loans

The first loan issued by Medical Capital to Aguino and his entities was on 4 October 20, 2006, at which time Medical Capital (Medical Provider Financial 5 Corporation ("MPFC") III, series 1) issued a loan in the amount of \$500,000 to 6 7 Aguino ("First Aguino Loan"). The First Aguino Loan is secured by Aguino's 8 equipment, inventory, accounts receivable and ownership of seven healthcare-related entities, including Capitol, Boro and Lifeco. Payments totaling \$76,000 were made between November 2006 and October 2007. This loan was sold 10 from MPFC III, series I to MPFC III, series 2 on August 30, 2007. Including 11 accrued interest, a total of \$862,367.67 is currently owed on the First Aguino Loan. 12 Seaman Declaration, ¶ 14. 13

On November 30, 2006, Medical Capital (MPFC III, series 1) issued a \$1,050,000 loan to Capitol ("First Capitol Loan"). The First Capitol Loan is secured by Capitol's inventory and equipment, and is personally guaranteed by Aquino. The loan agreement was amended six times, and the principal amount was increased to \$9,000,000. Payments totaling \$766,283.06 were made between January 2007 and July 2008. The loan was sold to MPFC III, series 2 on August 30, 2007. Including accrued interest, a total of \$14,859,086.81 is currently owed on the First Capitol Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 15.

On December 18, 2006, about three weeks after the Berger Commission's final report recommending Parkway Hospital for closure was issued, Medical Capital (MPFC III, series 1) purchased the GE HFS loan for \$8,903,739.42, the full balance owed at the time ("First Parkway Loan"). As noted above, Parkway

28

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-5-

²⁷

The other four entities are Boulevard Surgical Center, Inc., Boro Medical of New York, Inc., Boro Medical of Westchester, Inc., and Boro Healthcare of Union, P.C.

Acquisition and Parkway Hospital are co-borrowers under this loan, which is 1 secured by the Property and all other assets of both entities. Payments totaling \$937,551.39 were made between March and September 2007. No further payments were made. The loan was sold to MPFC III, series 2 in three payments from 4 October 4 to October 9, 2007. Including accrued interest, a total of \$13,064,849.94 5 is currently owed on the First Parkway Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 16. 6 7 On January 23, 2007, Medical Capital (MPFC III, series 1) issued a line of 8 credit to Aquino in the amount of \$12.8 million ("Second Aquino Loan"). Like the First Aguino Loan, the Second Aguino Loan is secured by Aguino's equipment, 9 inventory, accounts receivable and ownership interest in the seven healthcare-related 10 entities, including Capitol, Boro and Lifeco. The loan agreement was amended once 11 12 in February 2007 and twice in March 2008 for a total of three times, and the line of credit was increased to \$16,444,835.65. Payments totaling \$726,700.87 were made 13 between February and October 2007. In November 2011, this debt was reduced to 14 judgment in an action against Aquino filed by the Receiver in Nevada federal court. 15 Aquino was served, but did not respond to the complaint, and a default judgment 16 17 was entered. The total amount of the judgment is \$26,189,951.39, plus interest accruing at the legal rate. Pursuant to Court order entered January 5, 2012, the 18 19 Receiver has engaged counsel in New York (the Forman Holt firm) to enforce the 20 judgment. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 17. 21 On March 15, 2007, Medical Capital (MPFC IV, series 1) issued a \$3 million 22 loan to Capitol ("Second Capitol Loan"). Like the First Capitol Loan, the Second 23 Capitol Loan is secured by Capitol's inventory and equipment, and is personally 24 guaranteed by Aquino. The loan agreement was amended seven times, and the 25 principal amount was increased to \$7,027,305.75. Payments totaling \$933,454.81 were made between March 2007 and July 2008. Including accrued interest, a total 26 27 of \$9,566,679.89 is currently owed on the Second Capitol Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 18. 28

1 On July 19, 2007, Medical Capital (MPFV IV, series 2) executed a loan agreement in the amount of \$2,060,000 with Capitol ("Third Capitol Loan"). A total of \$2,391,387.00 was advanced under the Third Capitol Loan. Like the First and Second Capitol Loans, the Third Capitol Loan is secured by Capitol's inventory and 4 equipment, and is personally guaranteed by Aquino. Payments totaling \$66,191.98 5 were made between August 2007 and July 2008. Including accrued interest, a total 6 of \$3,153,755.03 is currently owed on the Third Capitol Loan.² Seaman 7 8 Declaration, ¶ 19. On June 20, 2007, Medical Capital (MPFC IV, series 2) executed a loan 9 agreement with Parkway Hospital, as Debtor-in-Possession in bankruptcy, in the 10 amount of \$18.2 million ("Parkway DIP Loan"). The loan was approved by the 11 12 bankruptcy court on July 31, 2007, in connection with confirmation of Parkway Hospital's chapter 11 plan (discussed below). The loan is secured by all of Parkway 13 14 Hospital's assets. A total of \$19,114,053.17 was advanced under the Parkway DIP Loan. Payments totaling \$135,438.04 were made between July and 15 September 2007. Including accrued interest, a total of \$29,358,543.71 is currently 16 17 owed on the Parkway DIP Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 20. 18 Adding all of the loans together, and including accrued interest, a total of 19 \$97,019,234.44 is currently owed on the loans to Aguino, Parkway Hospital, 20 Parkway Acquisition, and Capitol. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 21. **Confirmation of Parkway Hospital's Chapter 11 Plan** 21 **E.** 22 On July 31, 2007, while the First Berger Adversary was pending, the 23 bankruptcy court confirmed Parkway Hospital's First Amended Chapter 11 Plan. As 24 25 Two hundred thousand dollars of the funds advanced under the Third Capitol 26 Loan was used by Aquino to make a deposit towards the purchase of ambulance licenses owned by Century Ambulance Services, Inc. The deposit, litigation 27 between Century Ambulance and Aquino, and settlement thereof are described in a motion filed by the Receiver on May 11, 2011. The motion was granted and 28 the settlement was approved on June 8, 2011.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

part of plan confirmation, the Parkway DIP Loan was approved and the Boro DIP Loan was converted to equity in Parkway Hospital. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 22.

Parkway Hospital's efforts to operate on a cash-positive basis, challenge the Berger Commission and its recommendations, and avoid closure by the State of New York were unsuccessful. On October 3, 2008, the First Berger Adversary was resolved by stipulation dismissing Parkway Hospital's claims with prejudice. The hospital was closed on or about November 5, 2008. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 23.

F. The Capitol, Boro and Related Bankruptcy Cases

On October 7, 2008, Capitol, Boro, Lifeco and four other entities owned by Aquino filed chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Southern District of New York. The other four entities are Boulevard Surgical Center, Inc., Boro Medical of New York, Inc., Boro Medical of Westchester, Inc., and Boro Healthcare of Union, P.C. All seven cases are jointly administered. Medical Capital stipulated to the debtors' use of cash collateral to support their operations through December 2008. Certain of the entities continued to operate, while others ran out of capital and ceased operations. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 24.

G. The Parkway Hospital Bankruptcy Gets Converted to Chapter 7

On May 6, 2009, six months after Parkway Hospital was closed, it filed an adversary proceeding in bankruptcy court seeking funds from the State of New York under a program known as HEAL, which was established to compensate healthcare facilities for the costs of implementing the Berger Commission's recommendations ("Second Berger Adversary"). Parkway Hospital also asserted a takings claim. The State moved to dismiss the Second Berger Adversary on the grounds that the takings claim was substantially similar to claims dismissed with prejudice in the First Berger Adversary. The State also argued that Parkway Hospital failed to timely apply for HEAL funds and, therefore, was not eligible to receive them. The State's motion was granted by the bankruptcy court in August 2010, and the Second Berger Adversary was dismissed. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 25.

On August 12, 2010, the bankruptcy court granted the United States Trustee's motion to convert the Parkway Hospital bankruptcy case from chapter 11 to chapter 7. Ian Gazes ("Parkway Trustee") was appointed chapter 7 trustee and is charged with administering the assets of Parkway Hospital. The Parkway Trustee had all medical records removed from the Property, and is in the process of pursuing reimbursement claims from Medicare, "no fault" insurance claims, and other collection actions. The amounts collected will be distributed to the Medical Capital receivership estate after payment of bankruptcy court-approved administrative expenses. The Receiver's counsel is in regular contact with the Parkway Trustee and his counsel on the status of these matters. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 26.

H. Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee for Capitol, Boro, Lifeco and Related Entities

On September 13, 2010, the bankruptcy court granted the United States Trustee's motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee for Capitol, Boro, Lifeco and the other four entities. Mark Tulis ("Capitol Trustee") was appointed chapter 11 trustee and is charged with administering the assets of the seven entities. On November 18, 2010, on the Capitol Trustee's motion, the bankruptcy cases for four of the entities - Lifeco, Boro Medical of New York, Inc., Boro Medical of Westchester, Inc., and Boro Healthcare of Union, P.C. - were converted to chapter 7. On February 2, 2011, the Capitol and Boro cases were converted to chapter 7. The Capitol Trustee now acts as chapter 7 trustee in these cases. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 27.

The Capitol Trustee determined that only one of the seven entities had value as an operating business - Boulevard Surgical Center, Inc. ("Boulevard"). The Capitol Trustee marketed Boulevard, proposed a sale to the bankruptcy court, subject to overbid, and ultimately sold the entity for \$1 million. The sale proceeds, after payment of bankruptcy court-approved administrative expenses, will be paid to the Medical Capital receivership estate. The Receiver's counsel is in regular contact with the Capitol Trustee and his counsel on the status of these matters. On

1 October 27, 2011, the Receiver received a partial distribution in the amount of

2 \$\\$501,300 from the proceeds of the Boulevard sale. The Receiver expects to receive

another approximately \$200,000 from the Capitol bankruptcy estate. Seaman

Declaration, ¶ 28.

I. The Receiver's Foreclosure Action

As noted above, the Property is owned by Parkway Acquisition, which is not in bankruptcy. The Receiver engaged counsel in New York, the Trachtenberg Rodes & Friedberg firm, to commence a foreclosure action. In order to avoid any claims that the foreclosure action violated the automatic stay in the Parkway Hospital or Capitol bankruptcy case, the Receiver and the Parkway Trustee stipulated to relief from the automatic stay to allow the Receiver to proceed with the foreclosure action, including against any interests that Parkway Hospital might have in the Property. Similarly, the Capitol Trustee stipulated to a cancellation of any and all interests that Capitol, Boro, Lifeco or the related entities might have in the Property. These stipulations were approved by the bankruptcy court in November and December 2010. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 29.

On January 6, 2011, the Receiver commenced an action in New York state court to foreclose on the Property ("Foreclosure Action").³ On or about February 15, 2011, Parkway Acquisition served a verified answer with counterclaims. The counterclaims are based on the false allegation that the Receiver made a commitment to accept \$2.6 million in full satisfaction of the First Parkway Loan. The Receiver demanded that these patently frivolous claims be withdrawn under New York's equivalent of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Parkway Acquisition refused. On March 28, 2011, the Receiver moved to dismiss the counterclaims, to strike affirmative defenses based on the counterclaims, and for sanctions. On May 17, 2011, the New York court granted the Receiver's motion to

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

In New York, foreclosures are all conducted judicially and must be completed through the court system.

dismiss the counterclaims and strike the related affirmative defenses, but denied the request for sanctions. On October 21, 2011, the Receiver moved for summary judgment. Parkway Acquisition recently hired new counsel and requested additional time to file opposition to the motion. The opposition was served on January 25, 2012. The motion will be fully submitted on March 14, 2012. Seaman

The New York court system moves slowly, and Parkway Acquisition has made it clear that it will contest the foreclosure, including taking frivolous positions in order to delay the process. The Receiver's counsel at Trachtenberg Rodes & Friedberg believes that it could take as long as another nine months to complete the foreclosure sale. At that point, the Receiver would need to undertake additional efforts to market the Property, negotiate sale terms and move for Court approval of a sale. This process generally takes between 120 and 180 days. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 31.

J. Aquino Indictment

On March 10, 2011, the Manhattan U.S. Attorney announced the unsealing of a complaint charging New York State Senator Carl Kruger and New York State Assemblyman William Boyland, Jr. with taking bribes. The complaint charges Aquino and four others - CEO of MediSys Health Network David Rosen, healthcare consultant Solomon Kalish, real estate developer Aaron Malinsky, and lobbyist Richard Lipsky – of bribing and conspiring to bribe Kruger, Boyland, Jr., and former New York State Assemblyman Anthony Seminerio (now deceased). Michael Turano is charged with, among other things, laundering money for his and Kruger's benefit. On January 3, 2012, Aquino pled guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit bribery. Sentencing is set for May 3, 2012. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 32.

K. Tax and Utility Liens on the Property

As of January 23, 2012, there was approximately \$5.36 million in liens on the Property securing Parkway Acquisition's obligations to pay property taxes, water

Declaration, ¶ 30.

and sewer charges. The utility charges date back as far as February 2006, and the property taxes date back to January 2008. Interest on the amounts owed accrues at the rate of more than \$50,000 per month. The interest compounds daily, and, therefore, the monthly interest accrual grows each month. In addition, every six months, another approximately \$220,000 is owed in property taxes and is added to the obligations secured by the liens. The taxes and utility charges, including accrued interest, must be paid from the proceeds of any sale of the Property, whether through foreclosure or otherwise. The Receiver estimates that by June 30, 2012, the total obligations secured by the liens will be \$5.64 million and by the end of 2012, will be \$6.5 million. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 33.

L. Appraisals

Between August and November 2010, the Receiver obtained two appraisals of the Property from licensed appraisers, and three opinions of value from licensed brokers with expertise regarding healthcare properties in the New York area. The average of these appraisals and opinions of value is approximately \$11.5 million. In January 2012, the Receiver's staff contacted one of the brokers, who advised that his opinion of value has not materially changed since August 2010. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 34.

M. Conditions at the Property

The Receiver has visited the Property in person, and has had his counsel in New York visit the Property. The Receiver's staff has reviewed financial statements for the Property provided by Parkway Acquisition and also spoke to the last tenant in the building about the conditions at the Property before she left in June 2011. The Receiver believes that basic maintenance and repairs at the Property are not

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

being done and, therefore, conditions at the Property are deteriorating.⁴ Seaman Declaration, ¶ 35.

Furthermore, the Receiver learned that Parkway Acquisition had not paid its premiums for insurance on the Property, and, therefore, the Receiver has been forced to insure the Property. To date, the Receiver has paid \$88,779.66 for insurance. The insurance carrier has stated that it will not renew the policy, which expires in July 2012, unless repairs are made to the roof and the sprinkler system is serviced and tested. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 36.

N. Efforts to Market and Sell the First Parkway Loan

With the assistance of an experienced broker, the Receiver has marketed the First Parkway Loan, which is in first lien position *vis-à-vis* the Property. The Receiver engaged Kenneth Enos of Colliers International LI, Inc. ("Broker") to market the First Parkway Loan. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 37. Starting in January 2011, the Broker identified approximately 800 potential purchasers and sent them a marketing teaser about the opportunity. The opportunity was also listed on Broker's website. Broker received inquiries from 373 potential purchasers, 68 of whom executed confidentiality agreements and were given access to due diligence materials. Offers were received from 12 potential purchasers. Declaration of Kenneth Enos in Support of the Motion, ¶¶ 2-4. As noted above, prior to receiving the offer from Purchaser, the Receiver negotiated terms with four other potential purchasers at prices ranging from \$4.75 million to \$5.05 million. Each of these potential purchasers elected not to pursue the transaction after conducting their due diligence. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 4.

If the Receiver were not proposing this sale, he would have moved the New York court for appointment of a receiver for the Property in order to protect against diminution of the Property value. As it stands, the short term costs of a receiver would likely outweigh the benefits to the receivership estate. The proposed sale, if approved, must close within 61 days of entry of the Court order.

Subject to Court approval, the Receiver agreed that Broker will be paid two percent (2%) of the purchase price up to \$5.1 million, and three percent (3%) of the portion of the purchase price that exceeds \$5.1 million. If the proposed sale to Purchaser is approved, the broker's commission will be \$135,000. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 37.

O. The Proposed Sale

The highest and best offer received was from Purchaser in the amount of \$6.2 million. The Receiver negotiated and executed a Loan Purchase and Sale Agreement with Purchaser, a copy of which is attached to the Seaman Declaration as Exhibit A ("Agreement"). Seaman Declaration, ¶ 38. The basic terms of the Agreement are summarized as follows:

Court approval. All aspects of the Agreement and the sale are subject to approval by the Court.

Purchase Price. \$6,200,000.

Closing Date. The earlier of (a) a date agreed upon by the Receiver and Purchaser, and (b) 61 days⁵ from entry of an order approving the sale.

Deposit. Purchaser has deposited \$1,000,000 with the Receiver, which amount is non-refundable if the Court approves the sale and Purchaser fails to perform.

Overbid. The sale is subject to overbid. The minimum initial overbid is \$6,500,000, and subsequent overbids must be in increments of \$50,000. In order to qualify, bidders must (a) deliver an executed Loan Sale Agreement in form substantially similar to the Agreement, (b) provide evidence to the Receiver's satisfaction of the ability to pay at least the minimum overbid amount, and (c) deliver a deposit in immediately available funds of \$1,000,000. The Receiver

The title insurance company will not insure title to the First Parkway Loan until the order approving the sale has become final. In this case, because the Securities and Exchange Commission is a party, the appeal period is 60 days.

has sought approval of the proposed overbid procedures in the Ex Parte Application filed herewith.

Break-Up Fee. Purchaser's actual costs in connection with the sale, up to a maximum of \$75,000 will be paid to Purchaser if it is not the highest bidder.

Costs of Foreclosure Action. The costs of the Foreclosure Action between the date of execution of the Agreement and the closing date will be paid by Purchaser at closing.

Rights to Parkway Hospital Assets. As noted above, Parkway Hospital is a co-borrower with Parkway Acquisition on the First Parkway Loan. Parkway Acquisition owns the real property securing the First Parkway Loan. Under the Agreement, the Receiver retains all rights to recover from Parkway Hospital's assets and to receive distributions from the Parkway Hospital bankruptcy estate.

P. Losses Resulting From the Loans

It is not yet possible to quantify the total direct loss that will result from the loans made to Aquino, Parkway Hospital, Parkway Acquisition and Capitol. The Receiver continues efforts to collect from the Parkway Hospital and Capitol bankruptcy estates, and from Aquino via a judgment obtained in Nevada federal court. If the proposed sale is approved (and assuming no qualified overbids are received), the direct loss resulting from the First Parkway Loan, the only loan secured by real property, will be more than \$6.8 million. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 39.

The enormous losses are attributable to the high level of risk and lack of adequate collateral for the loans. Parkway Hospital was already in chapter 11 at the time Medical Capital began making loans to Aquino, Parkway Hospital and his other entities. The hospital was then recommended for closure by the Berger Commission. At this point, although the loan from GE HFS was clearly in a distressed state, Medical Capital paid full price for it. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 40.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

The loan agreements with Aquino select Nevada as the forum for all actions to enforce the loan agreements.

The Parkway DIP Loan, under which Medical Capital advanced another \$18.2 million secured only by the assets of a hospital about to be closed, is difficult to comprehend. Due to the Berger Commission's recommendation, the likelihood of Parkway Hospital being able to operate into the future was low. The hospital had been in bankruptcy and unable to pay its creditors since July 2005. The hospital's assets were already security for the First Parkway Loan. Whatever value the assets had was clearly insufficient to secure an additional \$18.2 million. The value of Aquino and Capitol's assets at the time the loans were made is not known, but thus far, only \$501,300 has been recovered on loans of approximately \$34.5 million. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 41.

The losses from the loans are exacerbated by Medical Capital's decisions to advance more funds and failure to enforce its rights. Medical Capital repeatedly amended loan agreements with Aquino and Capitol increasing the principal loaned despite the fact that no payments were being made. In the aggregate, the First Capital Loan, Second Capitol Loan and Second Aquino Loan were amended 16 times, increasing the total principal loaned from \$16.85 million to \$32.47 million. Moreover, unlike most secured creditors, Medical Capital rarely asserted itself in the Parkway Hospital or Capitol bankruptcy case, and made no attempts to push the cases forward or obtain relief from stay to foreclose on its collateral. Medical Capital also never sued Aguino to collect on the loans made to him or to enforce his personal guarantees. The failure to enforce the numerous loans, security agreements and guarantees is difficult to comprehend considering how much Medical Capital had advanced, the nominal payments made by the borrowers, and the very low probability that Parkway Hospital or Aquino's other entities would be able to pay off the debt. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 42. The proposed sale, which secures an immediate cash recovery of \$6.2 million, will, to the extent possible, minimize losses from the loans and provide at least a measure of recovery for investors and creditors.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

III. ARGUMENT

A. <u>Broad Equitable Powers of the Court</u>

"The power of a district court to impose a receivership or grant other forms of ancillary relief does not in the first instance depend on a statutory grant of power from the securities laws. Rather, the authority derives from the inherent power of a court of equity to fashion effective relief." *SEC v. Wencke*, 622 F.2d 1363, 1369 (9th Cir. 1980). The "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for the benefit of creditors." *SEC v. Hardy*, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir 1986). As the appointment of a receiver is authorized by the broad equitable powers of the court, any distribution of assets must also be done equitably and fairly. *See S.E.C. v. Elliot*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1569 (11th Cir. 1992).

District courts have the broad power of a court of equity to determine the appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership. *See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC*, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). The Ninth Circuit explained:

A district court's power to supervise an equity receivership and to determine the appropriate action to be taken in the administration of the receivership is extremely broad. The district court has broad powers and wide discretion to determine the appropriate relief in an equity receivership. The basis for this broad deference to the district court's supervisory role in equity receiverships arises out of the fact that most receiverships involve multiple parties and complex transactions. A district court's decision concerning the supervision of an equitable receivership is reviewed for abuse of discretion.

<u>Id.</u> (citations omitted); see also Commodities Futures Trading Comm'n. v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd., 205 F.3d 1107, 1115 (9th Cir. 1999) ("This court affords 'broad deference' to the court's supervisory role, and 'we generally uphold reasonable procedures instituted by the district court that serve th[e] purpose' of orderly and efficient administration of the receivership for the benefit of creditors."). Accordingly, the

Court has broad equitable powers and discretion in the administration of the receivership estate and disposition of receivership assets.

B. The Sale

It is generally conceded that a court of equity having custody and control of property has power to order a sale of the same in its discretion. *See*, *e.g.*, *S.E.C. v. Elliott*, 953 F.2d 1560, 1566 (11th Cir. 1992) (the District Court has broad powers and wide discretion to determine relief in an equity receivership). "The power of sale necessarily follows the power to take possession and control of and to preserve property." *See S.E.C. v. American Capital Invest., Inc.*, 98 F.3d 1133, 1144 (9th Cir. 1996), *cert. denied* 520 U.S. 1185 (decision abrogated on other grounds) (*citing* 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 482 (3d ed. 1992) (citing *First Nat'l Bank v. Shedd*, 121 U.S. 74, 87 (1887)). "When a court of equity orders property in its custody to be sold, the court itself as vendor confirms the title in the purchaser." 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 487.

"A court of equity, under proper circumstances, has the power to order a receiver to sell property free and clear of all encumbrances." *Miners' Bank of Wilkes-Barre v. Acker*, 66 F.2d 850, 853 (2d Cir. 1933). *See also*, 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> § 500 (3rd ed. 1992). To that end, a federal court is not limited or deprived of any of its equity powers by state statute. *Beet Growers Sugar Co. v. Columbia Trust Co.*, 3 F.2d 755, 757 (9th Cir. 1925) (state statute allowing time to redeem property after a foreclosure sale not applicable in a receivership sale).

Generally, when a court-appointed receiver is involved, the receiver, as agent for the court, should conduct the sale of the receivership property. *Blakely Airport Joint Venture II v. Federal Sav. and Loan Ins. Corp.*, 678 F. Supp. 154, 156 (N.D. Tex. 1988). The receiver's sale conveys "good" equitable title enforced by an injunction against the owner and against parties to the suit. *See* 2 Ralph Ewing

Clark, <u>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</u> §§ 342, 344, 482(a), 487, 489, 491 (3d ed. 1992). "In authorizing the sale of property by receivers, courts of equity are vested with broad discretion as to price and terms." *Gockstetter v. Williams*, 9 F.2d 354, 357 (9th Cir. 1925).

Here, as of December 31, 2011, the total owed on the First Parkway Loan was \$13,064,849.94. As of late 2010, the Property was appraised at approximately \$11.5 million (the average of two independent appraisals, and three broker opinions of value). By the time the Receiver could complete a foreclosure on the Property, the tax and utility liens, which must be paid first, would likely exceed \$5.5 million. Therefore, the net recovery from the foreclosure sale would likely be approximately \$6 million. However, the costs to continue to prosecute the foreclosure and to insure the Property would further reduce the recovery. Parkway Acquisition has made it clear that it will contest the foreclosure, including taking frivolous positions. There is also risk that Parkway Acquisition will further delay the foreclosure by filing bankruptcy. Moreover, the Receiver believes that conditions at the Property are deteriorating, and, therefore, that the Property value may decrease before the foreclosure is completed. Finally, the Receiver retains all rights under the First Parkway Loan to recover from Parkway Hospital's assets and to receive distributions from the Parkway Hospital bankruptcy estate. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 43.

Considering all of these factors, the Receiver believes that the proposed sale, which will generate an immediate cash recovery of \$6.2 million, and is subject to overbid to ensure that the highest and best price is obtained, is in the best interests of the receivership estate. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 44. Accordingly, the sale should be approved.

C. <u>Broker's Commission</u>

Subject to Court approval, the Receiver agreed that Broker will be paid a commission equal to two percent (2%) of the purchase price up to \$5.1 million, and three percent (3%) of the portion of the purchase price that exceeds \$5.1 million. If

Case 8:09-cv-00818-DOC-RNB Document 637 Filed 02/03/12 Page 26 of 26 Page ID #:14596

the proposed sale to Purchaser is approved, the commission will be \$135,000. 1 2 Seaman Declaration, ¶ 37. Broker has spent substantial time marketing the Loans, providing information to prospective purchasers, and assisting in the negotiation and documentation process. The First Parkway Loan has been marketed by Broker since 4 January 2011. Enos Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4. The Receiver believes that the proposed 5 commission is in line with industry standards and is fair and reasonable under the 6 7 circumstances. Seaman Declaration, ¶ 45. Accordingly, the Receiver requests 8 authorization to pay Broker's commission from the sale proceeds. 9 IV. **CONCLUSION** 10 WHEREFORE, the Receiver requests entry of an order granting the Motion, approving the Agreement, and authorizing the Receiver to pay Broker the 11 12 commission discussed above. Dated: February 3, 2012 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 13 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 14 By: /s/ Ted Fates 15 TED FATES Attorneys for Receiver Thomas A. Seaman 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP